

Multi-optional doctrine with the uncertainty degree evaluation for the aircraft airworthiness support technologies

The fourth part of the generalization for the degrading state maximal probability determination in the framework of the hybrid-optional functions entropy conditional optimality doctrine initiated in the preceding reports was presented in the given report. The issue will be continued with a following sequence of reports.

Introduction. Continuing the previous research dedicated to optimal periodicity of aeronautical engineering units' maintenance, it is an important issue to generate some original theoretical and practically applicable approaches to the scientific research elements [1-35].

State of the problem. Aircraft reliability is the basis of its airworthiness and flight safety. As per reference [1] several mathematical, simulating, and econometric models have been created. As a result of modeling the effective integrated safety management and quality system can be successfully implemented into the airline flight operations.

Purpose of the paper. It is to prolong the proposed approach (doctrine) likewise in [8-16] based upon the Jaynes' principle [17, 18], developed to [19], and subjective entropy maximum principle [7, 20-23]. It, in actual fact, follows [8-16]. A generalization has to be done with the use of the mathematics in order to opportunely reconsider the problems of [1-35] in the framework of the discussed concept.

Problem setting. The optimal values of the aeronautical engineering maintenance periodicities can be obtained not only in the entire probabilistic way, but also in a hybrid: partially probabilistic and partially optional way [8-16].

The essence of the doctrine (method, idea, approach, concept) is to consider the process developing in the system from the position of some hybrid optional functions distribution optimality.

Consider the options essential to a simplified system having the following three possible discrete states: "0" – the up state of the system; "1" – damage; "2" – failure; randomly changed in time t – deemed to be a continuum [24], at the initial conditions of the states' probabilities: $P_0|_{t=t_0} = 1$, $P_1|_{t=t_0} = P_2|_{t=t_0} = 0$, $t_0 = 0$.

Objective functional, like proposed in references [8-16], is as follows [8-10, p. 35, (55)], [11, p. 90, (11)]:

$$\Phi_h = - \sum_{i=1}^3 [x F_1^{(i)}] \ln [x F_1^{(i)}] - \frac{t_p^*}{\lambda_{01}} \sum_{i=1}^3 [x F_1^{(i)}] \left[M_{12}^{(i)} \right] + \gamma \left[\sum_{i=1}^3 [x F_1^{(i)}] - 1 \right], \quad (1)$$

where x is an unknown parameter; $h_i = x F_1^{(i)}$ is the multi-optional hybrid functions depending upon the options effectiveness functions of $F_1^{(i)}$; t_p^*/λ_{01} is the intrinsic

parameter of the system and the process, which is the ratio of the optimal (delivering the sought maximal value to the probability) time t_p^* of the maintenance periodicity, it is unknown yet for such problem formulation and the time of t_p^* is going to be determined as a solution, i.e. it is not the equation obtained on the basis of the absolutely probabilistic methods so far, however it will be, that is why the indication is the same, to the flow intensity λ_{01} ; $M_{12}^{(i)}$, is the algebraic addition of the initial elementary intensities matrix \mathbf{M} , formed in the style likewise from the Erlang's system [24], element of m_{12} ; γ is the parameter, coefficient, function (uncertain Lagrange multiplier, weight coefficient) for the normalizing condition; [8-10, p. 35, (55)], [11, pp. 90, 91, (12), (13)]:

$$F_1^{(i)} = \frac{M_{12}^{(i)}}{\Delta(\mathbf{M})} = \frac{k_i \lambda_{01} + c_1}{p(p^2 + pe_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1)}, \quad (2)$$

$$M_{12}^{(i)} = k_i \lambda_{01} + c_1, \quad \Delta(\mathbf{M}) = p(p^2 + pe_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1), \quad (3)$$

where

$$k_3 = 0, \quad k_{1,2} = \frac{-e_1 \pm \sqrt{e_1^2 - 4f_1g_1}}{2f_1}, \quad e_1 = \mu_{20} + \mu_{21} + \lambda_{12} + \mu_{10} + \lambda_{01} + \lambda_{02}, \quad (4)$$

$$f_1 = 1, \quad g_1 = b_1 + c_1 + d_1, \quad b_1 = \lambda_{12}\mu_{20} + \mu_{10}\mu_{20} + \mu_{10}\mu_{21}, \quad (5)$$

$$c_1 = \lambda_{01}\mu_{20} + \lambda_{01}\mu_{21} + \lambda_{02}\mu_{21}, \quad d_1 = \lambda_{01}\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{02}\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{02}\mu_{10}, \quad (6)$$

and the corresponding values of the failure intensities λ_{ij} and restoration intensities μ_{ji} for the three states system transitions, and p is the complex parameter (variable) of the Laplace transformation; one need to go on to obtain the maximum of the non-failure state probabilities.

Consider the extremum existence necessary conditions for the objective functional of (1), [8-10, p. 35, (56), (57)], [11, p. 91, (14), (15)]:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_h}{\partial h_i} = \frac{\partial \Phi_h}{\partial [xF_1^{(i)}]} = 0, \quad \forall i \in \overline{1,3}. \quad (7)$$

After that, we have got the *law of subjective conservatism* [34] on one hand and on the other hand the similar to the entirely probabilistic approach expression [8-10, p. 36, (59), (60)]:

$$\ln [xF_1^{(1)}] - \ln [xF_1^{(2)}] = \frac{t_p^*}{\lambda_{01}} [(\lambda_{01}k_2 + c_1) - (\lambda_{01}k_1 + c_1)]. \quad (8)$$

After that likewise in case of the completely probabilistic approach, [8-10, p. 36, (61)]:

$$t_p^* = \frac{\ln[F_1^{(1)}(\cdot)] - \ln[F_1^{(2)}(\cdot)]}{k_2(\cdot) - k_1(\cdot)} . \quad (9)$$

And finally, equivalent with the absolutely probabilistic equation with taking into account Eq. (2)-(7) for the roots, [8-10, p. 36, (62)]:

$$t_p^* = \frac{\ln \frac{k_1 \lambda_{01} + c_1}{p(p^2 + pe_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1)} - \ln \frac{k_2 \lambda_{01} + c_1}{p(p^2 + pe_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1)}}{k_2(\cdot) - k_1(\cdot)} . \quad (10)$$

As a result, [8-10, p. 36, (63)], [11, p. 91, (16)]:

$$t_p^* = \frac{\ln(k_1 \lambda_{01} + c_1) - \ln(k_2 \lambda_{01} + c_1)}{k_2(\cdot) - k_1(\cdot)} . \quad (11)$$

Thus, the result of the entirely probabilistic approach is obtained in absolutely not probabilistic rather in the **MULTI-OPTIONAL HYBRID-EFFECTIVENESS FUNCTIONS UNCERTAINTY MEASURE CONDITIONAL OPTIMIZATION DOCTRINE** way through (1)-(11), [8-16].

References

1. Максимов В. А. Оптимизация ресурсов изделий авиационной техники на базе использования полетной информации / В. А. Максимов // Проблемы оптимизации системы технической эксплуатации авиационной техники: сб. научн. тр. – Киев: РИО КИИГА, 1984. – С. 56-59.
2. Bogdanovych A. I. Estimation of modification activation energy “ШХ15” in fuel aviation “TC-1” / A. I. Bogdanovych // “Aviation in the XXI-st century”. Materials of the fifth world congress – K.: NAU, 2012, vol. 1, p.p. 1.1.1.-1.1.4.
3. Pałowski Z. T. “Ground effect” Inter-Modal Fast Sea Transport / Z. T. Pałowski, K. Szafran // The International Journal on Marine Navigation and Safety of Sea Transportation. – 2014. – vol. 8, no. 2. – pp. 317-320.
4. Goncharenko A. V. One theoretical aspect of entropy paradigm application to the problems of tribology / A. V. Goncharenko // Problems of friction and wear. – 2017. – № 1(74). – pp. 78-83. (ISSN 0370-2197 print)
5. Gas turbine engines diagnosing using the methods of pattern recognition / S. Dmitriev, O. Popov, O. Yakushenko, and others // Авіаційно-космічна техніка і технологія. – 2017. – № 8(143). – С. 115-120.
6. Бурлаков В. І. Вибір та обґрунтування показників якості робіт при ТО АТ / В. І. Бурлаков, О. І. Юрченко, М. В. Корсуненко // Матеріали VI Міжнародної науково-технічної конференції «АВІА-2004» (26-28 квітня 2004 р., Київ). – К: НАУ, 2004. Том 3. – С. 36.44-36.47.
7. Kasianov V. Subjective entropy of preferences. Subjective analysis: monograph / V. Kasianov. – Warsaw: Institute of Aviation Scientific Publications, 2013. – 644 p.
8. Continuing Aircraft Airworthiness (ICAO Doc 9760) : Self-Study Method Guide . Part II . Application of the Multi-Optional Functions Entropy Doctrine to

Assess the Aircraft Maintenance Process Improvements / compiler: A. V. Goncharenko. – K. : NAU, 2018. – 48 p.

9. Continuing Aircraft Airworthiness (ICAO Doc 9760) : Self-Study Method Guide . Part I . Reliability Measures to Assess the Aircraft Maintenance Process Improvements / compiler: A. V. Goncharenko. – K. : NAU, 2018. – 48 p.

10. Continuing Aircraft Airworthiness (ICAO Doc 9760) : Term Paper Method Guide / compiler: A. V. Goncharenko. – K. : NAU, 2018. – 48 p.

11. Goncharenko A. V. Generalization for the degrading state maximal probability in the framework of the hybrid-optional entropy conditional optimality doctrine / A. V. Goncharenko // Problems of friction and wear. – 2018. – № 1(78). – pp. 89-92. (ISSN 0370-2197)

12. Goncharenko A. V. Aeronautical and aerospace materials and structures damages to failures: theoretical concepts / A. V. Goncharenko // International Journal of Aerospace Engineering. – Volume 2018 (2018), Article ID 4126085, 7 pages <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/4126085>; 2018. – pp. 1-7.

13. Goncharenko A. V. Multi-optional hybrid effectiveness functions optimality doctrine for maintenance purposes / A. V. Goncharenko // 14th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Trends in Radioelectronics, Telecommunications and Computer Engineering (TCSET-2018). – February, 20-24, 2018, Lviv-Slavske, Ukraine. – 2018. – pp. 771-775.

14. Goncharenko A. V. Optimal UAV maintenance periodicity obtained on the multi-optional basis / A. V. Goncharenko // 2017 IEEE 4th International Conference “Actual Problems of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Developments (APUAVD)” Proceedings. – October, 17-19, 2017, Kyiv, Ukraine. – 2017. – pp. 65-68.

15. Goncharenko A. V. A hybrid approach to the optimal aeronautical engineering maintenance periodicity determination / A. V. Goncharenko // Proceedings of the NAU. – 2017. – № 3(72). – pp. 42-47.

16. Goncharenko A. V. Aeronautical engineering maintenance periodicity optimization with the help of subjective preferences distributions / A. V. Goncharenko // Proceedings of the NAU. – 2017. – № 2(71). – pp. 51-56.

17. Jaynes E. T. [Information theory and statistical mechanics](#) / E. T. Jaynes // Physical review. – U.S.A. – 1957. – Vol. 106, № 4. – pp. 620-630.

18. Jaynes E. T. [Information theory and statistical mechanics](#). II / E. T. Jaynes // Physical review. – U.S.A. – 1957. – Vol. 108, № 2. – pp. 171-190.

19. Goncharenko A. V. Measures for estimating transport vessels operators’ subjective preferences uncertainty / A. V. Goncharenko // Науковий вісник Херсонської державної морської академії. – 2012. – № 1(6). – pp. 59-69. (ISSN 2077-3617).

20. Goncharenko A. V. Several models of artificial intelligence elements for aircraft control / A. V. Goncharenko // 2016 IEEE 4th International Conference “Methods and Systems of Navigation and Motion Control (MSNMC)” Proceedings. October, 18-20, 2016, Kyiv, Ukraine. – 2016. – pp. 224-227.

21. Goncharenko A. V. Navigational alternatives, their control and subjective entropy of individual preferences / A. V. Goncharenko // 2014 IEEE 3rd International Conference “Methods and Systems of Navigation and Motion Control” Proceedings. October, 14-17, 2014, Kyiv, Ukraine. – 2014. – pp. 99-103.

22. Goncharenko A. V. Expediency of unmanned air vehicles application in the framework of subjective analysis / A. V. Goncharenko // 2013 IEEE 2nd International Conference “Actual Problems of Unmanned Air Vehicles Developments” Proceedings. October, 15-17, 2013, Kyiv, Ukraine. – 2013. – pp. 129-133.
23. Goncharenko A. V. Several models of physical exercise subjective preferences / A. V. Goncharenko // Clin. and Exp. Psychol. – 2016. – 2: 121. – pp. 1-6. doi:10.4172/2471-2701.1000121. (ISSN: 2471-2701 CEP)
24. Овчаров Л. А. Прикладные задачи теории массового обслуживания / Л. А. Овчаров. – М.: Машиностроение, 1969. – 324 с.
25. Goncharenko A. V. Subjective entropy maximum principle for preferences functions of alternatives given in the view of logical conditions / A. V. Goncharenko // Штучний інтелект. – 2013. – № 4(62). – 1 G. pp. 4-9.
26. Goncharenko A. V. Applicable aspects of alternative UAV operation / A. V. Goncharenko // 2015 IEEE 3rd International Conference “Actual Problems of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Developments (APUAVD)” Proceedings. October, 13-15, 2015, Kyiv, Ukraine. – 2015. – pp. 316-319.
27. Goncharenko A. V. An alternative method of the main psychophysics law derivation / A. V. Goncharenko // Clin. and Exp. Psychol. – 2017. – 3: 155. – pp. 1-5. doi: 10.4172/2471-2701.1000155. (ISSN: 2471-2701)
28. Goncharenko A. V. Artificial versus natural intellect in control of optimality / A. V. Goncharenko // Інтелектуальні системи прийняття рішень та проблеми обчислювального інтелекту: міжнародна наукова конференція, Євпаторія, 20-24 травня 2013 р.: матеріали конф. – Херсон: ХНТУ, 2013. – pp. 20-22.
29. Goncharenko A. V. Alternativeness of control and power equipment repair versus purchasing according to the preferences of the options / A. V. Goncharenko // Electronics and control systems. – 2016. – № 4(50). – pp. 98-101.
30. Goncharenko A. V. A concept of multi-optional optimality at modeling ideal gas isothermal processes / A. V. Goncharenko // Electronics and control systems. – 2017. – № 2(52). – pp. 94-97.
31. Goncharenko A. Aircraft operation depending upon the uncertainty of maintenance alternatives / A. V. Goncharenko // Aviation. – 2017. Vol. 21(4). – pp. 126-131.
32. Goncharenko A. V. Aircraft maximal distance horizontal flights in the conceptual framework of subjective analysis / A. V. Goncharenko // Proceedings of the NAU. – 2013. – № 4(57). – pp. 56-62.
33. Goncharenko A. V. Control of flight safety with the use of preferences functions / A. V. Goncharenko // Electronics and control systems. – 2013. – № 3(37). – pp. 113-119. (ISSN: 1990-5548)
34. Goncharenko A. V. Some identities of subjective analysis derived on the basis of the subjective entropy extremization principle by Professor V. A. Kasianov / A. V. Goncharenko // Automatic Control and Information Sciences. – 2014. – vol. 2, no. 1. – pp. 20-25. doi: 10.12691/acis-2-1-4
35. Goncharenko A. V. Fuel oil atomization characteristics smoothed by a logarithm normal distribution for marine diesel engines / A. V. Goncharenko // Двигатели внутреннего сгорания. – 2010. – № 2. – pp. 34-40. (ISSN 0419-8719)